1 HONORABLE JUDGE MARSHA J. PECHMAN MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 JOE J.W. ROBERTS, JR., NO. 2:18-cv-0746-MJP-BAT 10 Plaintiff, DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S THIRD MOTION 11 REQUESTING APPOINTMENT v. OF COUNSEL 12 VILMA KHOUNPHIXAY, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 The Defendants, JACK WARNER, MYRON AYALA, HEATHER HELMS, VILMA 15 KHOUNPHIXAY, LINDSAY MCINTYRE and JANA ROBISON by and through their 16 attorneys, ROBERT W. FERGUSON, Attorney General, and AARON WILLIAMS, 17 Assistant Attorney General, respectfully submit their Response to Plaintiff's Third Motion 18 to Appoint Counsel. Dkt. 71. 19 T. **RESPONSE** 20 Mr. Roberts Has Failed to Show Exceptional Circumstances Necessary for the Α. **Appointment of Counsel** 21 22 There is no right to appointed counsel in cases brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The court 23 may only request counsel to represent an indigent party in exceptional circumstances. Wilborn 24 v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1236 25 (9th Cir. 1984); see 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). The court has further discussed the requirement of 26 "exceptional circumstances" as follows:

A finding of exceptional circumstances requires an evaluation of both "the likelihood of success on the merits [and] the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved."

Wilborn, 789 F.2d at 1331 (citations omitted).

Neither of the above-cited "factors is dispositive, and both must be viewed together before reaching a decision." *Terrell v. Brewer*, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991) (quoting *Wilborn*, 789 F.2d at 1331).

Mr. Roberts has not demonstrated the existence of "exceptional circumstances" to support his request for appointment of counsel because: (1) he is plainly capable of articulating his claims *pro se*; (2) he has not demonstrated that his allegations involve any sort of complex case; and (3) he has not demonstrated a likelihood of success on the merits.

First, Mr. Roberts has clearly shown an ability to articulate his claims in a clear fashion to this Court. The pleadings on file in this case demonstrate Mr. Roberts is familiar with the court rules as well as the law pertaining to his claims. He has been able to navigate not only the rules but also to conduct legal research and to cite appropriate cases to support his arguments. *See, inter alia,* Dkt. 71, at 7. He claims that he is not able to represent himself because he is unable to access a law library. But this allegation is belied by the fact that he was clearly able to cite cases in his Motion. Dkt. 71, at 7. Thus his own Motion proves that he is able to conduct legal research. Mr. Roberts has failed to carry his burden to demonstrate an inability to present his claims to this Court without counsel.

Second, this is not a complex case. This case does not involve complex facts, or law. Rather, it involves the simple question of whether Defendants used excessive force in their treatment of Mr. Roberts in light of his threats of self- harm and suicide. And for the same reason, Mr. Roberts has failed to demonstrate a likelihood of success on the merits. This Court should deny Mr. Roberts's request for appointed counsel.

1	II. CONCLUSION
2	Mr. Roberts has failed to show he is entitled to appointment of counsel so Defendants
3	respectfully request that the Court deny his Motion.
4	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 21st day of February, 2019.
5	ROBERT W. FERGUSON
6	Attorney General
7	s/ Aaron M. Williams AARON M. WILLIAMS, WSBA #46044
8	Assistant Attorney General Corrections Division
9	PO Box 40116 Olympia WA 98504-0116
10	360-586-1445 AaronW@atg.wa.gov
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on the date below, I caused to be electronically filed the foregoing
3	DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S THIRD MOTION REQUESTING
4	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which
5	will send notification of the document to the following CM/ECF participants:
6	TOT WY DODEDTE DOG HOUSE
7	JOE JW ROBERTS DOC #394089 Washington Corrections Center docwccinmatefederal@doc1.wa.gov
8	
9	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
10	foregoing is true and correct.
11	EXECUTED this 21st day of February, 2019, at Olympia, Washington.
12	211200122 uns 21st day of recrually, 2017, at orympta, washington
13	<u>s/ Katrina Toal</u> KATRINA TOAL
14	Legal Assistant Corrections Division
15	PO Box 40116 Olympia WA 98504-0116
16	360-586-1445 katrinat@atg.wa.gov
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	